

4 April 1955

MEMORANDUM	FOR	THE	RECORD
------------	-----	-----	--------

SUBJECT: Trip Report - Visit to Perkin-Elmer Corporation, Stamford, Connecticut Division, March 23, 1955, in connection with Contract Negotiation on Project "OCTROI" - Letter Contract SC-21-54

1. Subject visit was made in the company of	25X <sup>2</sup>
. Representatives of Perkin-Elmer Corporation	25 <b>X</b> ′
contacted were Dr. Rod Scott, Chief Project Engineer.	25 <b>X</b> ′
Corporation Lawyer, and Treasurer.	25X <sup>2</sup>

- 3. P & E had available a tentative cost breakdown of this phase of the procurement, as well as a proposal from Hycon on the subcontract. Such cost breakdown visualized a target cost of some \$1,600,000 on the P & E portion with a target profit of 12%. The subcontract, with Hycon's proposed profit of 12%, totaled some \$4,000,000. P & E proposed to also load a substantial profit for P & E onto the Hycon subcontract. In total, P & E visualized a profit of some \$600,000 for P & E on the total contract work.
- 4. After considerable discussion of the profit amount, with arguments presented by both sides as to factors which should effect profit, the Government representatives stated flatly that an amount for (1) P & E General and Administrative expense to handle the subcontract and (2) P & E requested profit on the subcontract, totaling some \$500,000, was not acceptable to the Government. The undersigned stated his belief that the actual G & A required (to be determined by audit) plus a profit of 2 % to 3% to P & E on the subcontract should be an adequate return to P & E.

25X1

25X1

TS-103305 Copy \_/\_ of 3



5.	of P & E recalculated his figures and proposed
what he called th	le best P & E could do. This visualized a 124 proct-
on the P & E port	ion (some \$1,600,000) and a 5% profit on the Hycon
portion (some \$4,	000,000). This new position visualized a profit to
P & E (and saving	s to the Government) of somewhere between \$100,00
and \$200,000 - de	pending on what the final estimated price came out
to be. The under	signed stated that he considered P & E profit of
12% at target amo	unt still to be high and that the target profit
should begin st l	0%; likewise that 5% was considered a large profit
prioria pekil at I	op, likewise that m was considered a large profit
by a prime on a s	ub when so little of P & E facilities and manpower
would be involved	, with little risk involved.
	•
6. However	it was now late in the day and P & E was requested
to document this	proposal and send it through channels for review by

- project officials. No commitment was made as to the acceptability of the new proposal other than to state that it was nearer the Government's idea of what constituted a fair profit on this procurement. P & E representatives agreed to submit the proposal for review.
- Conclusions: The original proposal by P & E of some \$600,000 to \$650,000 profit to P & E is absolutely unacceptable. The new proposal, limiting profit to some \$400,000, is still high but may be acceptable under the circumstances of this procurement. No action should be taken until a firm proposal is received from P & E, including a firm proposal on the subcontract, and another negotiation meeting held with P & E. Also involved is the result of the negotiation meeting between P & E and Hycon on the subcontract. When all the above information is available we will have a clearer understanding of the estimated cost, and profit, and a mutually agreeable contract can be negotiated.

Contracting Officer

25X1

25X1

Copy / of 3

TS-103305